

Since the Brookhill Neighbourhood Secondary Plan Recommendation Report with attached Comment Summary Tables were added to the agenda, the Municipality received the following correspondence.

Name Date Submission Number	Summary of Comments	Response
<p>Paul Tobia May 14, 2021 S010a</p>	<p>Comments from Weston Consulting on behalf of Kaitlin, regarding 46 Stevens Road.</p> <p>Requests that PDC and Council remove the property from the Future Block Master Plan area and allow development to proceed on the property through a site-specific Official Plan Amendment. And to exempt the property from the 2-year moratorium on Amendments to the Secondary Plan.</p>	<p>A Future Block Master Plan is needed to address environmental, traffic, servicing, and neighbourhood compatibility concerns. These issues need to be studied for the area, not on a site-specific basis.</p>
<p>Jim McEwan May 14, 2021 S008d</p>	<p>Comments sent to Councillor Anderson May 14, which were forwarded to Planning on the May 15. Comment letter is dated May 1. Requests that all four properties on Linden Lane not be part of the Future Block Master Plan area and instead be given higher density designations now.</p>	<p>Mr. McEwan was advised that Linden Lane is within the Future Block Master Plan area. When work begins on this Block Plan, requests for land use designations will be considered.</p> <p>After Council directed Staff to hold a pre-consultation meeting with Kaitlin about 46 Stevens, Mr. Hennessey (a Linden Lane property owner) requested a meeting with Planning Staff. On April 1, 2021, Planning Staff met with all property owners of Linden Lane, including Mr. McEwan. They were offered the same opportunity as Kaitlin: to submit an application for a pre-consultation meeting for a specific development proposal and Staff would hold the meeting.</p>

<p>Mark and Susan Ashworth May 14 &amp; 16, 2021 S014a S014b</p>	<p>Emailed comments on May 14 stating three properties on Linden Lane have requested higher densities, but as an owner of one of the properties on Linden Lane, does not want anything changed on his property. A longer letter followed on May 16 outlining the Ashworth's objections to their property being developed beyond its current estate residential. States there has not been any studies done to consider the effect developing the other three Linden Lane properties would have on their property, particularly as regards ground water and their well, and traffic impacts.</p>	<p>A Future Block Master Plan is needed to address environmental, traffic, servicing, and neighbourhood compatibility concerns. It would be through that process that any changes to land use permissions would be contemplated with regard to the findings of technical and background reports and public consultation.</p>
<p>Doug Allingham May 14 &amp; 15, 2021 S011b S011c</p>	<p>Emailed on May 14 asking to discuss with Staff on the phone. Comment letter addressed to Mayor and Council emailed on May 15. Comment letter outlines his concerns as a property owner on Luverme Court (which is south of and adjacent to Linden Lane). States that due to infrastructure and environmental constraints, the area could not accommodate changes to current densities. Requests that the Future Block Master Plan be removed from the Secondary Plan and the area instead remain low density residential.</p>	<p>A Future Block Master Plan is needed to address environmental, traffic, servicing, and neighbourhood compatibility concerns. It would be through that process that any changes to land use permissions would be contemplated with regard to the findings of technical and background reports and public consultation.</p>
<p>Gina Koutsaris Sawchuck May 17, 2021 S025</p>	<p>Emailed regarding her property at 2454 Bowmanville Ave. Stating they object to the Environmental Constraint and parkette on part of the property. State they will be appealing these.</p>	<p>The property has the Environmental Constraint Overlay as there is the potential for environmental significance and the underlying designation can not be achieved until an EIS has been prepared and defined the limits of any natural features.</p>

Jeannette Thompson May 17, 2021 S026	Comments on behalf of Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board. No objections or concerns with Staff Report's recommendations.	Acknowledged.
--	---	---------------