

ZBA2021-0002 - Public Comments to Date (November 19, 2021)

#	Name Date Format	Comment Summary
1	Melissa Jones March 15, 2021 Email	Inquired about what the development plans were. Concerned about the heights of the buildings overlooking the backyard. Unhappy with the height of 11 stories, thought this location could have no more than 3 stories and that it would be a retirement home.
2	Glen Cain March 8, 2021 March 17, 2021 May 13, 2021 Email	<p>Inquired about what the development plans were. Concerned with how it will impact the value of their property. Listed other concerns including loss of privacy, construction noise, dirt, light pollution, tenant noise, and snowplowing. Drastic change from previous proposals.</p> <p>Further e-mail received o May 13, 2021 where Mr. Cain requested to be removed from the list of Interested Parties on the project.</p>
3	Ryan Fleming March 22, 2021 Email	Disappointed that the structure is going to be so high. Stated that it is a drastic change from previous proposals. Concerned with privacy. Inquired about the role of planners and setbacks.
4	Ken Pasricha April 14, 2021 Phone Call	General questions related to the proposal. Requested to be added to the IP list.
5	Christine Cox May 13, 2021 Email	General questions related to the proposal. Requested to be added to the IP list.

#	Name Date Format	Comment Summary
6	Richard Oldfield May 19, 2021 Phone	General support of the proposal and encourages the incorporation of Active Transportation infrastructure on and surrounding the site.
7	Richard Domes June 4, 2021 Email	General Questions related to the proposal. Requested to be added to the IP list.
8	Hillary Clifford June 6, 2021 Email	Disappointed with the 11 storey height, finds 6 storeys more suitable to the town as the building is tall but not towering. Concerned with loss of privacy, light pollution, and loss of trees.
9	Ryan Boland June 7, 2021 Public Meeting Delegation	Expressed his concerns regarding the height of the development. He stated that it directly impacts the neighboring backyards to the south and believes there could have been a better selected area. Mr. Bolan concluded by stating the development will affect the value of his home.
10	Josie Pascoe June 17, 2021 July 5, 2021 Email	Surprised by the two 11 storey buildings considering the Bowmanville West Secondary Plan public meeting where 4-6 stories were discussed. Has concerns with the proposal. Feels that “big business rules and neighborhoods don’t really matter”.
11	Doug Waterhouse July 16, 2021 Email	General questions related to the proposal. Requested to be added to the IP list.
12	Chris Rennie August 26, 2021 Email	General questions related to the proposal. Did not request to be added to the IP list.