

Agency and Department Comments

The following agencies and internal departments were circulated for comments on the applications. Below is a chart showing the list of circulated parties and whether or not we have received comments to date.

Department/Agency	Comments Received	Summary of Comment
Durham Region Community Growth and Ec. Dev. Department	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Durham Region Works Department	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Durham Region Health	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clarington Engineering Development Division	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Engineering has no objection to the proposal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development Engineering is in support of an emergency access route. • With respect to the Traffic Impact Study, provide a conceptual plan illustrating the roadway network, including fire routes, sidewalks, temporary cul-de-sacs, and all relevant dimensions, ensuring conformity with Clarington Design Standard. • A 6 m setback / buffer is required around the pond block as well as a . sediment drying area in the pond block. • Provide an On-Street Parking Plan. • Provide a tree preservation plan which includes the “reason for removal” in the inventory tables. • Updates are required to the Stormwater Management Report, and the Geotechnical Report to meet Provincial and Municipal requirements. <p>All other comments can be addressed at the detailed design stage.</p>
Clarington Emergency & Fire Services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>CEFS is concerned with one access into the site should the access be blocked. A secondary access is to be confirmed. The maximum length of a cul-de-sac shall be 300</p>

Department/Agency	Comments Received	Summary of Comment
		metres to the access point or all units shall have a sprinkler system.
Clarington Building Division	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>The proposed development involves the full removal of the wetland habitat for the implementation of the Stormwater Management pond. The GRCA strongly encourages that the wetland feature be retained, however GRCA could support the removal of the wetland if the upper and lower tier municipality also support its removal due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Even after the removal of the feature the Conservation Authority Act tests are satisfied; b. The wetland is under 0.5 ha in size; c. The wetland appears to be anthropogenically formed; d. The wetland provides minimal flood mitigation and erosion control; and e. The wetland is disconnected from larger wetlands. <p>The hydrogeological assessment meets the guideline requirements and demonstrates a sound understanding of site-specific conditions. GRCA staff concurs with the recommendations made by Cambium Inc. and agrees that the site is feasible for the proposed development.</p>
Enbridge Gas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No objection.
Hydro One	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No objection.
Bell	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No objection.
Rogers Cable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No objection.
Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No objection.